

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT				1. CONTRACT ID CODE J	PAGE OF PAGES 1 2
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0005	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 30-Jul-2003	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)	
6. ISSUED BY CODE U.S. ARMY ENGINEER DISTRICT, SAVANNAH 100 WEST OGLETHORPE AVE SAVANNAH GA 31401-3640		7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) CODE See Item 6			
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)				X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. DACA21-03-R-0032
				X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 18-Jun-2003
					10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.
					10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)
CODE	FACILITY CODE		11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning <u>1</u> copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.					
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)					
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.					
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.					
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).					
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:					
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)					
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.					
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) See page 2					
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.					
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)			16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
			TEL: _____ EMAIL: _____		
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		16C. DATE SIGNED 30-Jul-2003	

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

The following items are applicable to this modification:

DACA21-03-R-0032, Access Control Points, Ft. Benning, GA. Receipt of Proposals remains August 4 2003, at place of acceptance. Time remains 1600 hours, local time.

A. CONTRACT CHANGES: None

B. TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION CHANGES: The revised section listed below (Revised by Amendment No. 0005) are hereby added to and made a part of the solicitation. Revised or added information is underlined and deleted information is struck out. Revisions can be located in the appropriate volume of specifications by searching for an asterisk and amendment number (i.e., *1).

Revised Section

13046

C. CONTRACT DRAWINGS - FILE NO. 141-13-01: Sheet 161 with Revision 1 dated 28 July 2003 is hereby added to and made a part of the contract drawings.

QUESTIONS WITH RESPONSE ARE PROVIDED BELOW AND ARE NOT A PART OF ABOVE PARAGRAPH C.

QUESTION: Sheet 302-section 5 has a note that reads that the screw anchor should be a ss150 @ 8' O.C. by A.B. Chance. It also states that the Design Load should be 95 Kips. It is our understanding that the design load of the ss150 is 75 Kips. We also have concern with the sheet piles ability to stand 1.5 times the 95 Kips torque.

RESPONSE: Drawing S-302 is reissued in amendment #0005 with section 5 revised to clarify the requirements for the anchor. The size of the anchor (previously specified as SS150) has been removed as the size should actually be determined by the manufacturer for the load indicated. The way in which the safe working load is specified has been revised to be more clear (specified actual anchor tension at 30-degrees instead of horizontal component). The notes on the anchor have also been revised and include instructions that sheet piles not be loaded during load tests.

QUESTION: Specification section 13046 part 1.1 states, "Guard booths furnished shall be identical to the unit tested and certified. Crash test shall be performed and data compiled by an approved independent testing laboratory. The design and structural materials of the guard booth shall be the same as those used in the tested booth." Does this mean that a guard booth has already been tested? There is no criteria for a "Crash Test" in the Bid Documents including required performance data. Please provide if the cost of the "Crash Test" is to be included in the bid.

RESPONSE: Specification is revised to remove the requirement for a Crash Test.

QUESTION: It seems that finishing equipment for referenced project is conflicting under the following sections: 2754a 1.7.4 seems to refer to a slip form operation 2754a 3.4 seems to refer to a fixed form operation Can you clarify as to what the intent for paving this project is? On plan sheet # c-504: The joint sealant details refer to two different types of sealant. Can you clarify which we are supposed to use on this project?

RESPONSE: Due to doweling of joints it may only be possible to use fixed form operation for the majority of the project. However, if a contractor is able to provide the specified product with a slip form operation, that is also acceptable. It is the contractor's option as to where he uses fixed form or slip form operations in the project as long as it is in accordance with the drawings and specifications. Either of the two different types of joint sealant shown of sheet C-504 can be used.